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Project Summary

Monitoring User Preferences - An Application to Desktop Environments

*A critical requirement of software systems is to satisfy the needs of the user.*

The focus of this project will be on desktop environments and will be aimed to identify strategies to capture user preferences and detect changes. This is intended to be done explicitly by requesting user feedback, as well as implicitly through mining of user behaviour or system logs.

Proper user preference specification is believed to be very important for maximum user enjoyment when using software. Much effort by software companies goes into designing these user interfaces to be appropriate for their intended users. This includes research as well as extensive user testing.

Many modifications or extensions exist for software in order to facilitate users further, such as saving settings for use on multiple desktops. These are frequently third-party and many are made and shared by users facing similar problems.

However many users are not fully aware of the configuration capabilities of a system. Advanced configuration options can also intimidate novice users of a system.

This report is organised as follows. The following section, **Chapter 1: Introduction**, will further explain what is meant by “Monitoring User Preferences” as well as describing the project in detail.

**Chapter 2:** **Research** will describe the research done in order to prepare for the project including papers read and existing projects.

**Chapter 3: Details of Current Progress** details the non-research work completed at the time of the writing of this report and **Chapter 4: Project Plan** will illustrate the work to be done before the project is finished.

1: Introduction and Objectives

# 1.1 Introduction

## Description

The enormous growth in web content and software applications as well as the increased popularity of these products has led to increased demands for user accessibility. In recent years, there has been much effort put into developing user-adaptive web-sites and applications (Perkowitz & Etzoni, 1999).

Though many techniques exist, a commonly used method is based upon monitoring User Preferences. User preferences are frequently assessed in order to improve the user experience.

This project is intended to study and monitor these preferences in order to allow for the optimal experience for users of all levels.

This will be accomplished through the gathering of information from the user and modification of the desktop environment accordingly. This will be done explicitly through user feedback such as user-defined settings or implicitly through data logging and mining.

Many existing studies and papers have already dealt with similar topics and these will be detailed later in the **Research** section of the report. This project is intended to focus some of these studies into a single project.

### User Preferences

A user is any person who uses a computer or network service. User Preferences can be described as “Specifications or settings for features of a software program as defined by the user.”

Another term frequently used for the type of study is “User Profiling”. A user profile has been defined as the description of the user interests, characteristics, behaviours, and preferences. User profiling is the practice of gathering, organizing, and interpreting the user profile information. (Godoy & Amandi, 2005)

These are frequently options selected by users in order to simplify or enhance their experience while using a software program. Explicitly defined examples include simple alterations such as colour, font, or language as well as more advanced modifications such as key-binding or behavioural changes.

A common example of implicit information gathering would be the use of link personalisation to recommend items based on buying history or ratings by e-commerce applications. This is detailed further in (Rossi, et al., 2001)

## Overview

Though numerous ideas and possible proposals were considered, the approach selected for this project is an extension designed for Netflix, a popular movie and television streaming service.

The goals for the planned extension are for it to contain various methods of retrieving data from the user through feedback such as buttons and option pages, as well as monitoring the user tastes in movies and television shows in order to further present recommendations and alter the interface accordingly.

# 1.2 Motivation

When setting out to do my final year project I felt it was important to work in an area that interested me but was also potentially useful, as well as integrating aspects of the degree programme and subjects I had learned over the 4 years.

I have been interested in Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning mostly due to the crossover between a number of subjects that had interested me for a long time, namely data analysis (Witten, et al., 2011), evolutionary theory (Sipper, et al., 1997), and neuroscience and psychology (Vogeley & Bente, 2010). I had originally intended to do a personal project of a desktop-based voice-operated system based upon learning user behavioural patterns and understanding unstructured commands similar to (Barakova, et al., 2011). After researching this idea I decided that unfortunately it was too large and considered it too aspirational and risky for my final year project.

One of the proposed ideas dealt with a similar idea albeit in a more manageable capacity. I chose this idea as it dealt with user profiling and user preferences, which I felt to be close enough to my original idea to remain interesting as well as being a subject applicable to additional fields.

# 1.3 Objectives of Project

The objectives of this project will be to create a program capable of adapting to the user’s requirements. This will be done as mentioned previously through the monitoring of the user’s habits and preferences.

This project will then be distributed for testing and results of this testing will be analysed further in accordance with the ideals of the proposed topic. These findings will then be compiled into a report in order to further express the results of the project.

Prior to conducting this research, I felt it necessary to examine past and current research in this area. This existing research has been presented in **Chapter 2** along with a summary of the initial findings, while **Chapter 3** will present the work that has been completed thus far. Finally **Chapter 4** will detail the work that is still planned for the project.

2: Research

# 2.1 Introduction

An important part of the project is the research and reasoning behind any decisions made. Numerous studies and papers exist in similar fields and these were consulted during the initial stages of the project. A number of papers were supplied by the supervisor and through the use of Google Scholar, more papers were found. These papers were viewed using the University of Limerick Library’s services, and the books used for studying were also borrowed from the library.

A large portion of the first semester was spent researching the topic and forming possible ideas for the project.

# 2.2 Papers

The initial papers consulted were *Creating Evolving User Behavior Profiles Automatically* (Iglesias, et al., 2012) and *Preference Mining: A Novel Approach on Mining User Preferences for Personalized Applications* (Holland, et al., 2003), among others. These papers were useful in detailing proper recording technique as well as showing previous studies in order to form an idea.

These papers…

References from these papers were consulted for further reading material. Google Scholar was also consulted to find more recent papers referencing these sources. These efforts led me to *Detecting innovative topics based on user-interest ontology* (Nakatsuji, et al., 2009) and *User Preference Mining Techniques for Personalized Applications* (Holland & Kießling, 2004)*.*

These papers spoke further about the processing of the information, such as mining algorithms and applications of the information. The subjects of both papers were based upon web-based applications which helped to cement the decision to work on a web-based application for the project.

# 2.3 Existing Projects

The most prevalent example found of proper monitoring of User Preferences is Google Now by Google. This application gathers information from users’ search history in order to display possibly useful material related to fields the user may be interested in. The application can then gather explicit information from the user such as feedback to this material or selection of certain fields such as “Home” location. This clearly displays both implicit (material) and explicit (feedback) information gathering. It also processes this information to display information relative to the user, as is intended by our application.

Based upon the idea of a Netflix extension for Chrome, many similar extensions already exist. Though these applications are less focused upon adaptive interfaces and information gathering, they do perform actions similar to those desired by the project application.

# 2.4 Other Sources

Other than existing Academic Papers, a number of books were consulted about datamining and machine learning, as well as human-computer interaction. Many of the papers had mentioned the use of datamining for analysing the collected data, and further research was done into the possible techniques that could be used by the project.

The first book read was *Datamining: Practical Learning Tools and Techniques* (Witten, et al., 2011). This book detailed many of the popular datamining techniques and algorithms. It also contained numerous examples of the uses of these mining techniques in order to further explain when they are used. This was particularly useful for understanding these techniques before delving deeper into their uses.

After initialstudy of Datamining, *Machine Learning: A Probabilistic Perspective* (Murphy, 2012) was consulted in order to further research possible methods of gathering meaningful and useful information from the collected data. Many of the papers mentioned using clustering and other machine learning techniques in order to mine the data and this book was used to further research these techniques. This was important for full understanding of the techniques as well as selection of the techniques used.

As the interface and user-interactability was a vital part of the project design, a number of user-interface books and guides were consulted. *Don’t Make Me Think: A Common Sense Approach to Web and Mobile Usability* (Krug, 2014) was a very short but enlightening book revolving around the importance of simplicity in design. The book itself was made to be short in order to exemplify good design and simplicity. Much of the book detailed around creating interfaces that are self-explanatory and allow for quick navigation and require little “thinking” in order to perform an action. This thought process was carefully preserved when designing the interface of the project.

*The Design of Everyday Things* (Norman, 2013) was also selected in order to help understand the thought process behind many designs. This book delved into the psychology of everyday actions as well as understanding faults of bad design and how “Human Error” is often attributed to cases of faulty design. This book was important for understanding common pitfalls and constraints for the design of the program as well as techniques for the testing of the program interface.

3: Description of Current Progress

# 3.1 Introduction

As much of the project was focused on research, the current progress is limited. This is further constrained by the requirement of learning JavaScript.

# 3.2 Problems Faced

## Language

Learning JavaScript

## Netflix

API

4: Project Plan

# 4.1 Introduction

# 4.2 Testing